



***MINUTES of the Planning Committee Meeting held on June 29th 2021 at  
the St Peter's Parish Office.***

*Attending:*

*Mark Wilson, Philip Bailey, Ted Beresford Knox and John Thompson.  
Sophie Martin (Clerk)*

1. Apologies for absence - None
2. Declarations of Disclosable Interest - None
3. Minutes from last meeting – Agreed and Signed
4. Planning applications for consideration

2021/1042 Little Heath Cottage, Kent Hatch Road, Limpsfield, Oxted, Surrey, RH8 0SZ (Certificate of Lawfulness) *No Comment.*

2021/965 Quest Place, Ballards Lane, Limpsfield, Oxted, Surrey, RH8 0SN.

This is an additional flat roof extension on the side of a substantial property that has already been extended. It has already had 39% increase (40% reasonable in Green Belt). PB believes the flat roof design is inappropriate and not in keeping with the design of the existing building. Seems very extensive for a garden office/gym.

Propose comment to refer to character management. LCA04. May not merit an objection, but the committee proposes to make comment that the flat roof isn't consistent with the surrounding sloping elevations, which would be perfectly possible to incorporate into the design. Pays no reference to the character and style of the property. In addition, this is a very large extension on an already largely extended house.

[2021/95](#) [The Wain House, Uvedale Road, Limpsfield, Oxted, Surrey, RH8 0EW](#) *Has been approved.*

[2021/892](#) [Thornbury, Kent Hatch Road, Limpsfield, RH8 0SZ](#)

Variation to condition. Issues already raised by the LPC were already addressed. This is amendments to the approved application. The committee proposes no comment.

2021/1019 1a Granville Road. Only just received. To review prior to Parish Council Meeting and make a decision then.

#### **5. Recent planning determinations to note**

2021/632 Friars House – approved

2021/599 1 Detillens Lane – approved.

#### **6. Limpsfield Conservation Area Statement and Management Plan Update**

No response from CR in terms of progress report on the CAAMP. JT is concerned about losing time. MW has left both email and voicemail and will continue to chase.

#### **7. Any Other Business**

- Priest Hill – MW has asked PD to acknowledge receipt of the letter. TBK would like the issues to be looked at promptly to confirm that everything has been dealt with properly.
- PB – we need to be clear which applications will be reviewed at the committee meeting. TDC does not have to accept our comments after the deadline. We need to give adequate notice of applications to be reviewed at the planning committee meetings. As far as possible, applications will need to be reviewed in time so that we can make decisions in the planning meetings, other than exceptional applications.  
PB - Would like to agree cut-off date for the applications. SM reminds that agenda has to be posted by Thursday latest, so applications need to be circulated before that date.  
SM to circulate the spreadsheet with all recent applications and comments deadlines by Wednesday before the Tuesday Planning Meeting.  
Regarding deadlines, if it is a particularly important application, we can ask TDC for a deadline, which should be acceptable in most circumstances.
- MW – proposes we confirm TDC planning committee meeting dates.
- PB addresses need for objection and comments letters to be addressed as LPC not MW personal address to avoid any confusion with TDC and ensure the letters are seen to be from LPC and not from Mark personally.



Mark Wilson Chairman - LPC Planning Committee